The geographer’s greatest ally is the map. Maps can present enormous amounts of information very effectively, and can be used to establish theories and solve problems. Furthermore, maps often are fascinating, revealing things no other medium can. It has been said that if a picture is worth a thousand words, then a map is worth a million.

Maps can be fascinating, but they often do not get the attention they deserve. You may spend 20 minutes carefully reading a page of text, but how often have you spent 20 minutes with a page-size map, studying what it reveals? It is difficult to summarize every pattern a map shows in a caption or paragraph of text. Readers should actively read maps by looking for patterns and themes. For example, in chapter 2 on population we study several maps that depict the human condition by country, including birth and death rates, infant mortality, mothers’ index, and life expectancy. In the text, we can refer only to highlights (and low points) on those maps. But make a point of looking beyond the main issue to get a sense of the global distributions these maps represent. It is part of an intangible but important process: to enhance your mental map of the world.

While on the topic of maps, we should remind ourselves that a map—any map—is an incomplete representation of reality. In the first place, a map is smaller than the real world it represents. Second, it must depict the curved surface of our world on a flat plane, for example, a page of this book. And third, it contains symbols to convey the information that must be transmitted to the reader. These are the three fundamental properties of all maps: scale, projection, and symbols.

Understanding these basics helps us interpret maps while avoiding their pitfalls. Some maps look so convincing that we may not question them as we would a paragraph of text. Yet maps, as representatives of the world all, all distort reality to some extent. Most of the time, such distortion is necessary and does not invalidate the map’s message. But some maps are drawn deliberately to mislead. Propaganda maps, for example, may exaggerate or distort reality to promote political aims. We should be alert to cartographic mistakes when we read maps. The proper use of scale, projection, and symbolization ensures that a map is as accurate as it can be made.

MAP SCALE

The scale of a map reveals how much the real world has been reduced to fit on the page or screen on which it appears. It is the ratio between an actual distance on the ground and the length given to that distance on the map, using the same units of measurement. This ratio is often represented as a fraction (e.g., 1:10,000 or 1/10,000). This means that one unit on the map represents 10,000 such units in the real world. If the unit is 1 inch, then an inch on the map represents 10,000 inches on the ground, or slightly more than 833 feet. The metric system certainly makes things easier. One centimeter on the map would actually represent 10,000 cm or 100 meters. Such a scale would be useful when mapping a city’s downtown area, but it would be much too large for the map of an entire state. As the real-world area we want to map gets larger, we must make our map scale smaller. As small as the fraction 1/10,000 seems, it still is 10 times as large as 1/100,000, and 100 times as large as 1/1,000,000. If the world maps in this book had fractional scales, they would be even smaller. A large-scale map can contain much more detail and be far more representative of the real world than a small-scale map. Look at it this way: when we devote a half page of this book to a map of a major city (Fig. A.1), we are able to represent the layout of that city in considerable detail. But if the entire country in which that city is located must be represented on a single page, the city becomes just a large dot on that small-scale map, and the detail is lost in favor of larger-area coverage (Fig. A.2). So the selection of scale depends on the objective of the map.

When you examine the maps in this book, you will note that most, if not all, of them have scales that are given in graphic form, not ratios or fractions. This method of representing map scale is convenient from several viewpoints. Using the edge of a piece of paper and marking the scale bar’s length, the map reader can quickly—without calculation—determine approximate distances. And if a map is enlarged or reduced in reproduction, the scale bar is enlarged or reduced with it and remains accurate. That, of course, is not true of a ratio or fractional scale. Graphic scales, therefore, are most common in this book.
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MAP PROJECTIONS

For centuries cartographers have faced the challenge of creating map projections, ways of representing the spherical Earth, or part of it, on a flat surface. To get the job done, there had to be a frame of reference on the globe itself, a grid system that could be transferred to the flat page. Any modern globe shows that system: a set of horizontal lines, usually at 10-degree intervals north and south from the equator, called parallels, and another set of vertical lines, converging on the poles, often shown at 15-degree intervals and called meridians (see box, “Numbering the Grid Lines”). On the spherical globe, parallels and meridians intersect at right angles (Fig. A.3).

Numbering the Grid Lines

When cartographers girdled the globe with their imaginary grid lines, they had to identify each line by number, that is, by degree. For the (horizontal) latitude lines, that was easy: the equator, which bisects the Earth midway between the poles, was designated as 0° (zero degree) latitude, and all parallel north and south of the equator were designated by their angular position (Fig. A.3). The parallel midway between the equator and the pole, thus, is 45° north latitude in the northern hemisphere and 45° south latitude in the southern hemisphere.

But the (vertical) longitude lines presented no such easy solution. Among the parallels, the equator is the only one to divide Earth into equal halves, but all meridians do this. During the second half of the nineteenth century, maps with conflicting numbers multiplied, and it was clear that a solution was needed. The most powerful country at the time was Britain, and in 1884, international agreement was reached whereby the meridian drawn through the Royal Observatory in Greenwich, England, would be the prime meridian, 0° (zero degree) longitude. All meridians east and west of the prime meridian could now be designated by number, from 0° to 180° east and west longitude.
At this smaller scale, national parks in the United Kingdom are shown, as the map allows the display of a larger area. Notice this smaller scale map shows less local detail. City parks, rail lines, and roads within London are not visible at this scale, but they were visible in Fig. A.1.
in the northern and southern higher latitudes, the continents appear not only stretched out but also misshaped (Fig. A.4). Because the meridians cannot be made to converge in the polar areas, this projection makes Antarctica look like a giant, globe-girdling landmass.

But what happens when these lines of latitude (parallels) and longitude (meridians) are drawn to intersect at right angles on a flat piece of paper? At the equator, the representation of the real world is relatively accurate. But go toward the poles, and distortion grows with every degree until, in the northern and southern higher latitudes, the continents appear not only stretched out but also misshaped (Fig. A.4). Because the meridians cannot be made to converge in the polar areas, this projection makes Antarctica look like a giant, globe-girdling landmass.

**Figure A.3**
Numbering of grid lines.

**Figure A.4**
Mercator’s projection greatly exaggerates the size and shape of higher-latitude landmasses, but direction is true everywhere on this map. In this Mercator map of the world, Africa and Greenland look to be about the same size, but in reality, Africa is 14 times larger than Greenland.
Looking at this representation of the world, you might believe that it could serve no useful purpose. But in fact, the Mercator projection, invented in 1569 by Gerardus Mercator, a Flemish cartographer, had (and has) a very particular function. Because parallels and meridians cross (as they do on the spherical globe’s grid) at right angles, direction is true everywhere on this map. Thus the Mercator projection enabled navigators to maintain an accurate course at sea simply by adhering to compass directions and plotting straight lines. It is used for that purpose to this day.

The spatial distortion of the Mercator projection serves to remind us that scale and projection are interconnected. What scale fraction or graphic scale bar could be used here? A scale that would be accurate at the equator on a Mercator map would be quite inaccurate at higher latitudes. So the distortion that is an inevitable byproduct of any map projection also affects map scales.

One might imagine that the spatial (areal) distortion of the Mercator projection is so obvious that no one would use it to represent the world’s countries. But in fact, many popular atlas maps (Mercator also introduced the term atlas to describe a collection of maps) and wall maps still use a Mercator for such purposes. The National Geographic Society published its world maps on a Mercator projection until 1988, when it finally abandoned the practice in favor of a projection developed by the American cartographer Arthur Robinson (Fig. A.5). During the news conference at which the change was announced, a questioner rose to pursue a point: Why had the Society waited so long to make this change? Was it because the distortion inherent in the Mercator projection made American and European middle-latitude countries large, compared to tropical countries in Africa and elsewhere? Although that was not the goal of the National Geographic Society, the questioner clearly understood the misleading subtleties inherent even in so apparently neutral a device as a map projection.

The Mercator projection is one of a group of projections called cylindrical projections. Imagine the globe’s lines of latitude and longitude represented by a wire grid, at the center of which we place a bright light. Wrap a piece of photographic paper around the wire grid, extending it well beyond the north and south poles, flash the bulb, and the photographic image will be that of a Mercator projection (Fig. A.6). We could do the same after placing a cone-shaped of paper over each hemisphere, touching the grid, say, at the 40th parallel north and south; the result would be a conic projection (Fig. A.7). If we wanted a map of North America or Europe, a form of conic projection would be appropriate. Now the meridians do approach each other toward the poles (unlike the Mercator projection), and there is much less shape and size distortion. And if we needed a map of Arctic and Antarctic regions, we would place the photographic paper as a flat sheet against the North and South Poles. Now the photographic image would show a set of diverging lines, as the meridians do from each pole, and the parallels would appear as circles (Fig. A.8). Such a

---

**Figure A.5**
The Robinson projection substantially reduces the exaggerated size of polar landmasses because the lines of longitude curve toward each other in the polar regions. The Robinson projection better approximates shape, but it lacks the directional utility of the Mercator projection.
planar projection is a good choice for a map of the Arctic Ocean or the Antarctic continent.

Projections are chosen for various purposes. Just as the Mercator is appropriate for navigation because direction is true, other projections are designed to preserve areal size, keep distances real, or maintain the outlines (shapes) of landmasses and countries. Projections can be manipulated for many needs. In this book, we examine global distributions of various phenomena. The world map that forms the base for these displays is one that is designed to give prominence to
A planar projection is created when a light at the center of the globe projects diverging longitude lines onto a flat sheet of paper placed over the North Pole (top) and the South Pole (bottom).

SYMBOLS ON MAPS

The third fundamental property of a map is its symbolization. Maps represent the real world, and this can be done only through the use of symbols. Anyone who has used an atlas map is familiar with some of these symbols: prominent dots (perhaps black or red) for cities; a large dot with a circle around it, or a star, for capitals; red lines for roads, double lines for four-lane highways, black lines for railroads; and patterns or colors for areas of water, forest, or farmland. Notice that these symbols respectively represent points, lines, and areas on the ground. For our purposes, we need not go further into map symbolization, which can become a very complex topic when it comes to highly specialized cartography in such fields as geology and meteorology. Nevertheless, it is useful to know why symbols such as those used on the maps in this book were chosen.

Point symbols, as we noted, are used to show individual features or places. On a large-scale map of a city, dots can represent individual houses or locations of businesses. A dot map shows a spatial distribution, such as the distribution of Starbucks coffee shops in Washington, D.C. (Fig. A.9). A July 2005 story in the Washington Post reported a correlation between income and Starbucks locations in the city. Starbucks are clustered around federal and corporate buildings downtown and in northwest neighborhoods, where incomes are higher (see Fig. 1.17). Lower income neighborhoods in southeast D.C. have few Starbucks retail outlets.

Line symbols include not only roads and railroads, but also political and administrative boundaries, rivers, and other linear features. Again scale plays a crucial role: on a large-scale map, it is possible to represent the fenced boundaries of a single farm, but on a small-scale map, such detail cannot be shown.

Some lines on maps do not actually exist on the ground. When physical geographers do their field work they use contour maps, lines that represent a certain consistent height above mean sea level (Fig. A.10). All points on such a contour line thus are at the same elevation. The spacing between
contour lines immediately reveals the nature of the local topography (the natural land surface). When the contour lines at a given interval (e.g., 100 feet) are spaced closely together, the slope of the ground is steep. When they are widely separated, the land surface slopes gently. Of course contour lines cannot be found in the real world, and neither can the lines drawn on the weather maps in our daily newspaper. These lines connect points of equal pressure (isobars) and temperature (isotherms) and show the development of weather systems. Note that the letters iso (meaning “the same”) appear in these terms. Invisible lines of this kind are collectively known as isolines, lines of equal or constant value. These are abstract constructions, but they can be of great value in geographic research and representation.

Area symbols take many forms, and we will see some of them on the maps in this book. Area symbols are used in various ways to represent distributions and magnitudes. Maps showing distributions (of such phenomena as regionally
dominant languages or religions in human geography, and climates or soils in physical geography) show the world, or parts of it, divided into areas shaded or colored in contrasting hues. But be careful: those sharp dividing lines are likely to be transition zones in the real world, and a dominant language or religion does not imply the exclusion of all others. So distribution maps, and there are many in this book, tend to be small-scale generalizations of much more complex patterns than they can reveal. Maps showing magnitudes also must be read with care. Here the objective is to reveal how much of a phenomenon prevails in one unit (e.g., country) on the map, compared to others. The maps on population in chapter 2 are examples of such maps. The important cartographic decision has to do with color. Darker should mean more, and lighter implies less. That is relatively easily done when the dominant color is the same. But on a multicolored map, the use of reds, greens, and yellows can be confusing, and first impressions may have to be revised upon examination of the key.

Some students who are first drawn to the discipline of geography go on to become professional cartographers, and their work is seen in atlases, newspapers, magazines, books, websites, and many other venues.